

Alonzo Eldridge

55

Nova 42 Judge 1 - Nova 42

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 451

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

NEG

AFF

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy BA & AJ

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Brandon An	1	as
1	Andrew Jo	3	ak

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Kaitlyn Huang	2	at
1	Susie Gu	4	93

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

ak

Nova 42

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

Clash

NEG

- Cost Benefit - lost of Analysis Jobs

- Cyber space (710,000)

- Cyber deterrents security

- Intellectual property for 3 years has failed

- China, and Russia - rolling stealing information - Black OWS

- Cyber operation

- Crime

- safety

RFD: NEG presents

better Arguments based on saving other countries.

has one cost benefit

analysis based on cost

Cyber security and h. 5 trillion dollars in debt

- Cyber War - Crime

- safety - cost

- Information of cyber

stealing versus operations

IP theft China versus USA

- Cyber determined (Clashes)

- Cyber operations

- Cyber attacks

- Saving money versus cost of cyber

security

- Information Relation regarding a.h.s and

- Cyber War

- Cyber operation are expensive

- 1 cyber attack 1.7 million dollars

- Cyber Arms Race

- Cold War

- Infrastructure

- hacking

- Prudence

- Cyber attacks

- Debt

- h.s. debt not being the military

Eddie Diaz

ELAC

59

~~Stephanie Levitt~~ - Emerson

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 525

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JK & AY **NEU**

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>29</u>	Jayden Kuo	<u>4</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>1</u>	Angela Yuan	<u>3</u>	<u>85</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership HX & AL **AFF**

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Hanbo Xu	<u>1</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>2</u>	Andy Liu	<u>2</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

Eddie Diaz

ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF ^{3:37}

- Very effective
- can we think quick on making
- if the US advances then
- has many advantages to help U.S.
- Other documents
- prevents attacks for other countries
- despite it being a small percentage, the costs will be tremendous

4:07 - if they increase debt, it will prevent

2:06 - China that NEU dropped some points

NEU

- Not all other documents

Sub A: Weapons ~~that~~ ^{that} work

Sub B: Subject to attack

- (B) are greater than benefits
- other weapons are captured ~~that~~ ^{U.S.} through ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~U.S.~~ ^{U.S.}
- Unit 47 ~~at~~ have succeeded 1:20
- Other documents do not work 2:18

Eddie Diaz

ELAC

62

~~Stephanie Levitt - Emerson~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 525

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

The benefits of the U.S. fed gov. funded use of other operations

ASAP

AFF
Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy FY & ED

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Felicia Ye	2	90
1	Elizabeth Dashbold	1	95

NEG
Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy ML & MH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Monica Lee	3	85
2	Matthew Hyun	4	80

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

~~AFF~~ NEG

- 3:41 - Start physical and other warfare
- can be used against own citizens
 - weapons
 -

3:45

- wouldn't reduce casualties (attackers AFF's point)
- we would be more in debt
- said that AFF had a better plan

- 2:00 - Summary: said that won because more points
- please be more respectful

~~AFF~~ AFF 4:10

- it is fine to spread, but make sure that when you explain or sort your point clearly
- reduced casualties
 - w/ security
 - strategized

- w/ vulnerability

- 1:45
- attackers Neg's gave more point ~~than~~ ^{NEG} so far (despite more given to the AFF)
 - enroute
 - ~~the~~ AFF does make a valid point about lack of substructure of points

B

51

Derek Faraldo - PSA

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 457

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy RK & JL

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy TR & KZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
AFF1	Rich Kang	4	86
AFF2	Justin Lee	DF 1	90

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Neg1	Taara Reddy	3	87
Neg2	Kevin Zhang	DF 2	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

PSA
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Taara - Neg, defin against OCO, Harmful Risk/Benefit analysis.

Style

clear voice, could use more eye contact
confident arguments, great sources.

1) barely works. 96% fail to make changes. No lasting results.

2) U.S loses technology, stolen tech

3) Retaliation - been attacked & fighting back

- Russia

- Iran examples/want to hurt U.S. more

4) U.S vulnerable/subject to attack.

Rich - AFF, benefit > harm

Style

Confident/good voice modulation, make eye contact when possible
to emphasize certain points.

1) Stop violence/prevent wars

- immobilize/enforce disarm

- benefit - save lives

2) STOP Terrorism - save lives
exists

3) Conclusion - make sure you pause
make clear your ending U.

4) Reduce with

Interv. stop
missiles

5) First strike
capabilities?

cyber
negans - effective?
worth?

What if no OCO's
make impacts clear.

Kevin neg - Finish neg arg - ~~benefits~~ ^{harm} of attacks. Cyber attacks part of conver. world.
So doesn't really save lives.

Preempting? OCO's safer? -> No because AFF has purpose. Cyber attacks still harmful.

U.S pre-empting -> causing trouble, irritating other countries.

ISIS exists - conver with too, OCO's empower ISIS.

OCO -> Retaliation -> hurt Americans.

Style

clear voice,
good substance,
little more eye
contact.

Justin-Pro: 1) invisibility — not escalate / can't block.

2) Harms — False, need defensive cyber ops, ^{Not} ~~if~~ offensive to prevent these data hacks.

3) Save lives, money, security, benefits > harms, Nice summary — mid way to establish confidence in case.

If there's an IP. economic loss too, make sure to clearly state a conclusion.

Decision & Reasoning

Great substance, research, and preparation by both sides. close debate that came down to style and persuasiveness communicating your points flowed through.

Aff had the burden to persuade me and effectively summarized their case and linked their arguments with powerful evidence & made me care/believe in their position personally.

Neg had lots of examples, but I felt they could have been better linked to the harms you were claiming. Lots of evidence is great, but if you don't have time to deliver an effective conclusion to make me believe in your side it is hard for me to link all the points on my own in a short time. To help future judges plan that last 30 seconds to state the main arguments & why you win.

I hope this advice is helpful. Follow your style and continue to practice and improve. Consider law school and great debate! Go Bruins!

4/1

Dawson Khoury

52

~~Ted Kim~~ - Nova 42

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 517

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP Aff

Neg

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership KK & MC

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy-EE & TH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Katherine Kligys		97
2	Melody Chang		96

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Erdem Enkhbileg		99
2	Tayten Han		100

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Nova
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

I vote Neg on basis of Scope in Solvency. Neg seemed to have more command over the debate, offering rebuttals that delinked the Aff from their ability to solve, like with catching cyber criminals. There were multiple instances in cross ex where the Aff responded with silence due to some hard questions being asked. Neg struck me as having a clearer strategy with more flushed out analysis, thus the Precure my vote

A

64

Derek Faraldo - PSA

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 457

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership PH & SR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
AFF2	Pearl Hsieh	DF 1	85
AFF1	Sophia Ren	DF 3	82

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy LW & JQ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
NEG2	Leslie Wang	2	83
NEG1	Jennifer Quach	4	80

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Sophia → intro - US successful in OCA's, create use them
 1) cheap/computers/internet/knowledge
 2) Need deterrence → protect Nat interest
 3) Intel property → protect economy
 US power still example → economic classes, death

STYLE

Clear voice, good sources, good effort state CONTRAST, make more at beginning/end
 Put emphasis, could use some voice modulation.

Text beginning → Force more diplomacy, speed up claims. Adv

Conclusion → Easy, effective, summarizes

Jennifer → Netw → risk/benefit analysis
 1) limited benefits → concessions?/losing benefits?
 4% time partial concession
 Reverse engineering → exchange with contents
 totalization 1440/5120 first, then cold water
 knows → economic/living list. As big as water.
 Conclusion → make the impacts.

STYLE

Clear voice, use make eye contact, good structure, confident with arguments/research.

Pearl AFF2 → 1) cheap future
 2) tariff beginning
 3) cyber warfare better than conventional
 benefits/bottoms

STYLE

good style, using emphasis, making good eye contact.
 Don't need to refer to cards. Good conclusion, emphasis.

offen
 1) escalation/penetration → just computers?
 2) vulnerability → US is behind, strong, need deterrence power.
 3. 2016 election, full form/stopped in 2018
 4. successful program - anti-war

Leslie → Evidence deterrence doesn't work. Attacks have increased.

Iran example

- 1) Iran's role - cyber war
- 2) U.S. has to recognize cost
Russia doesn't want a thing?
- 3) Cyber war → save money?
- 4) White House → save ~~the~~
big impacts
- escalation
- retaliation.

weighing → pros/cons/deterrence.

Style

Great effort at eloquence.

Stay organized, don't get distracted.

Conclusion → watch time.

Great debate, Everyone was well prepared, mature, respectful, Each one of you can improve on your delivery, but the substance was great.

Good professional crossfires.

I choose to go with aff because they a clear case with evidence and linked to their impacts.

Neg attempted to rebut, but I thought aff's contentions flowed through.

It was a close debate with great speaking on both sides. Honestly, could have been a

coin flip and a difficult choice. Congrats to all of you, consider Law school!

My favorite part was the battle over deterrence & costs! Go Bruins!

50

Lori Khashaki - Nova 42**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC ~~XXXX~~ (Neg)**Flip for Sides:** Wilshire Academy AL & SL ~~XXXX~~ (Aff)

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Annie Jiang	2	98
2	Sarah Chen	1	99

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Andrew Lee	3	97
1	Sean Lee	4	96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Annie

- good confidence and vocal tone
- good questions during crossfire
- try to find more concrete evidence & intention #2

Sean

- make sure you read over your case more so you don't stumble over your words
- try to use your full two minutes during ~~summary~~ summary speech
- try to speak more during ~~crossfire~~ grand crossfire

Sarah

- great speaker!
- try not to get so tense during crossfire
- OCOs or military war is not moral → I don't think morally should be ~~one of your main arguments~~ one of your main arguments

Andrew

- is the US really a moral country? please define your definition of morality
- a little confusing on why the song made is linked to the argument.
- try not to get tense during crossfire
- try not to yell during all of your speeches

60

Ryan Ohanessian - Wilshire**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 521

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership KG & JS *Neg 2nd*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Katelyn Gan	<u>1</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>1</u>	Joy Sun	<u>2</u>	<u>94</u>

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy DB & WZ *Aff 1st*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Daniel Baek	<u>3</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>2</u>	William Zhao	<u>4</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Order, possibly road map would be helpful. Responses were a bit all over the place.
- Debate set up well, but deterrence needs to be talked about more.
- Voted on neg's impacts:
global instability, allied capabilities

Joey Gianconelli
~~Joey Gianconelli~~

56

~~Mike Kyle~~ - Nova 42
FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 511

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & AC

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Ariel Choe	<u>4</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>1</u>	Amanda Chu	<u>2</u>	<u>93</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ED & KG

Pos

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Ethan Dong	<u>1</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>2</u>	Kevin Guo	<u>3</u>	<u>92</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Won because of deterrence argument with no rebuttal.

Dawson Khoury

53

~~Ted Kim~~ - Nova 42

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 517

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Aff

Neg

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SD & MY

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy RL & JC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Sophia Dai	2	100
1	Melody Yu	1	99

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Ryan Lee	4	95
2	Jacob Cho	3	96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Sophia summed up this debate for me, the Neg has evidence but not much logic to link it. They do not give examples of cyber wars being started. A lot of the argumentation is predicated on hypotheticals, such as with nuclear facilities. Aff has given me actual examples that have happened, not just what they claim will happen, such as with Iran, Israel, & Lebanon. Aff has more of a balance of ethos, pathos, logos, while Neg is mostly appealing to logos.

Good Debate!

63

Yu Zhao - AofHL

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 421

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership NS & JM *Neg*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>4</u>	Nancy Sun	<u>4</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>2</u>	Jevon Mao	<u>2</u>	<u>96</u>

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy KI & MI, *aff*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>3</u>	Kanon Iwata	<u>1</u>	<u>97</u>
<u>1</u>	Manon Iwata	<u>3</u>	<u>95</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Manon: *greeting, good eye contact, define scope of debate, solid initial argument, passionate*

Jevon: *hand gestures, clarify criteria, great counter-argument, offered judge a written copy*

Kanon: *rebutted opponents arguments, challenged other team's rebuttal, used a good quote, reclarified scope.*

Nancy: *clear voice projection, good rebuttal*

54

Yu Zhao - AofHL**FLIGHT: A**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 421

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Nova 42 Academy JH & JL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
3	Jacky He	4	88
1	Jason Lu	3	90

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SJ & JX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Stephanie Jing	2	96
4	Jessica Xue	1	95

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Jason: no greeting, no eye contact, addressed judge, addressing opposition directly.

Stephanie: clear voice projection, pointing out opponent's failure to answer question.

Jacky: tentative, no summary, not use up time, good question, some eye contact

Jessica: layout response strategy first. use hand gestured clear voice. good questioning good summary

61

Carol Chong - AofHLFLIGHT: ~~B~~ A

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: **E3 508**

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy HS & BSNeg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Hadyeh Saborouh	<u>2</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>2</u>	Ben Suh	<u>1</u>	<u>86</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AC & CL Aff.

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Andy Chen	<u>4</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>1</u>	Claire Liu	<u>3</u>	<u>84</u>

Above, **CIRCLE** the **SIDE** and **TEAM** that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

A of HL

1:40 Aff.

1. beneficial toward the U.S.
- U.S. best interest

B. effective

- Iran nuclear program

C. cyber take-over

- infrastructure

- superpower competition

2. Save lives

A. Stop terrorism

- ISIS

- counter terrorist

B. preventing major conflict

- Iran oil tanker

- defense is the best offense

- framework is similar

- \$5 mill

1:40 Neg.

1. Cyber arms race

- cold war

2. Cyber security

- protect the U.S.

- hacking

3. Against their own citizens

- triggers war

- North Korea - nuclear war.

↳ Sony

- New technology is spreading around the world

- NSA 9/11

↳ use against own citizen

4. boost up the cyber arms race

58

Carol Chong - AofHLFLIGHT: **A B**

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 508

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP**ASAP****Flip for Sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SH & MA Neg.

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Shirlyn Huang	<u>2</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>2</u>	Madeline Ang	<u>1</u>	<u>90</u>

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy SS & JW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Sodongoo Sodtuya	<u>4</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>2</u>	James Wang	<u>3</u>	<u>86</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

A of HL

~~1.38~~

Aff.

1. OCO prevent escalation

- reduce casualties
- prevent conventional warfare

B. advantages

2. Deterrence requires force

B. lead to deterrence

C. fall of the reluctant U.S.

- power grid → infrastructure

- Russia / China uses cyber attack

- have not seen negative OCO

- target the U.S. if we don't use OCO

Utilitarianism

Neg.

Vulnerability

1. ~~Voluntarability~~

B. Keeping Secrets

impact: can't deter other countries

2. Arm race

A. cyber weapons

B. increases real world escalation

- strong defense not offense

C. Social media

- NATO

impact: infrastructure attack

- increase casualties

- Russia, China, Iran can cause escalation

- China has been more aggressive against cyber attacks

Joey Giarancelli

57

~~Mike Kyle~~ - Nova 42

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 12:30-2 - Debate Round 3

Room: E3 511

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JW & CL

AFF
2nd

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Joleen Wong	4	41
2	Chloe Leong	1	95


Flip for Sides: Emerson Community Charter
School AE & SG

NEG
1st

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Aaron Eliassian	2	93
1	Sophie Gopen	3	92

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won
the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Their points on tolerance and
Advantage took it.